Statistical analysis of associated vertebra and costal anomalies in spina bifida patients
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Abstract: Objective: Spina bifida is one of the most severe birth defects and can happen as a result of disrupted primary neurulation. Congenital vertebra and costa anomalies are more frequently seen with spina bifida, and associated anomalies significantly affect the prognosis of affected children. In this study, we aimed to determine the incidence of scoliosis, costal anomalies, and vertebral deformations seen at the time of diagnosis and to statistically evaluate their concomitancies. Methods: Gender and mean ages of the patients were determined. The spina bifida patients were examined for deformation anomalies, butterfly vertebra, hemivertebra, wedge vertebra, costal anomalies and scoliosis. The relationships between these anomalies were evaluated. Results: 94 patients with a mean age of 11.5 months examined. The incidence of scoliosis was 21.8% among female infants and 17.9% among males. Rates of scoliosis with vertebra anomalies (hemivertebra, wedge vertebra) and costal anomalies did not differ significantly (P > 0.05). Wedge vertebra were the most frequent vertebra anomaly type with 38.2% ratio. Costal anomalies were detected in 25.5% of females and 20.5% of male infants. Hemivertebra and wedge vertebra were seen significantly more frequently in this group. Gender distribution did not differ between with and without any vertebra types. Conclusion: Congenital vertebra and costa anomalies are more frequently seen with spina bifida. We believe that these anomalies and relationship with spina bifida may demonstrate differences among different ethnic groups or locations. More detailed multi-centered studies performed on this issue will aid in the determination of etiologies, genetics, and treatment principles of these congenital anomalies. Key words: Costal anomalies, scoliosis, spina bifida, hemivertebrae, wedge vertebrae
Introduction

Spina bifida can happen as a result of the disruption of any stage of primary neurulation, which terminates at the 4th week of intrauterine life, or secondary neurulation, which terminates at the 11th week. In patients with spina bifida, higher incidence of vertebral formation, segmentation anomalies, scoliosis, kyphosis, and costal anomalies have been detected.

Associated anomalies significantly affect the prognosis of children with myelomeningocele. Congenital vertebral anomalies can affect any vertebral segment or involve one or more than one segment (31). Vertebral anomalies lead to skeletal deformities and consequently complicate the clinical picture (19). Vertebral anomalies complicate primary surgery and affect pathogenesis and monitorization of tethered spinal cord syndrome during long-term follow-up. Costal anomalies are usually associated with vertebral anomalies in patients with spina bifida. Congenital costal anomalies complicate vertebral surgery, lead to pulmonary problems at an early stage, and increase mortality rates. In patients with spina bifida, scoliosis can be congenital or develop secondary to paresthesia. In this patient group, scoliosis has a progressive course and induces severe restriction of the range of motion. In this case, re-planning of rehabilitation and treatment processes can be necessary.

In this study, we aimed to determine the incidence of scoliosis, costal anomalies, and vertebral deformations seen at the time of diagnosis in children with open or closed spinal dysraphism, independently of the type of spinal dysraphism. The concomitancies were statistically evaluated.

Material and method

The spina bifida patients included in the study were delivered in Bakirkoy, Yenimahalle, Women’s & Children’s Hospital and Kanuni Sultan Suleyman Training and Research Hospital and diagnosed firstly on an ambulatory basis. Gender and mean ages of the patients were determined. The patients were examined for spinal deformations, scoliosis, and costal anomalies associated with spina bifida. The relationships between associated anomalies were evaluated.

The mean, standard deviation, rate, and frequencies were used as descriptive statistics of the data. Distribution of variables was controlled with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used in the quantitative analysis of data. Qualitative analysis of data was performed using a chi-square test. When the criteria for this test were not met, Fisher’s exact test was used. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0.

Results

A total of 94 patients with a mean age of 11.5 months (55 female and 39 male) were included in the study. Vertebra were examined for deformation anomalies, which revealed butterfly vertebra (n=8; 8.6%), hemivertebra (n = 13; 13.8%), and wedge vertebra (n = 31; 33%) (Table 1). Costal anomalies (n = 22; 23.4%) and scoliosis (n = 19; 20.2%) were also found.
The incidence of scoliosis was 21.8% among female infants and 17.9% among males. The mean ages of those with and without scoliosis were 9.7 and 11.9 months, respectively. The distributions of age and gender of those with and without scoliosis were not significant (P > 0.05). Rates of scoliosis in patients with and without butterfly vertebra, hemivertebra, wedge vertebra, and costal anomalies did not differ significantly (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Costal anomalies (rib anomalies) were detected in 25.5% of females and 20.5% of male infants. The mean ages of the patients with and without costal anomalies were 7.68 and 12.64 months, respectively, without any significant difference between the two groups (P > 0.05). The rate of butterfly vertebra was significantly higher in patients with costal anomalies when compared to those without (P < 0.05). Hemivertebra were seen significantly more frequently in infants with costal anomalies relative to those without (P < 0.05). Wedge vertebra were significantly more frequently observed in the group with costal anomalies when compared to those without (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

Hemivertebra were detected in 14.5% of females and 12.8% of male infants. The mean ages of the patients with and without hemivertebra were 7.85 and 12.06 months, respectively. Gender distribution in patients with and without hemivertebra did not demonstrate significant differences (P > 0.05).

Butterfly vertebra were detected in 7.3% of females and 10.3% of male infants. Mean ages of the patients with and without butterfly vertebra were 5.88 and 12.00 months, respectively. Gender distribution among patients with and without butterfly vertebra did not demonstrate significant differences (P > 0.05).

Wedge vertebra were detected in 38.2% of females and 38.2% of male infants. Mean ages of the patients with and without wedge vertebra were 11.13 and 11.65 months, respectively. Gender distribution among patients with and without wedge vertebra did not demonstrate significant differences (P > 0.05) (Table 4).

### Table I

Numbers of patients according to diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly vertebra</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemivertebra</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge vertebra</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib Anomalies</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoliosis</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II

Analysis of Scoliosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scoliosis (-)</th>
<th>Scoliosis (+)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>med. ± sd./n-%</td>
<td>med. ± sd./n-%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>11.9 ± 17.2</td>
<td>9.7 ± 16.4</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly vertebra</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hemivertebra were detected in 14.5% of females and 12.8% of male infants. The mean ages of the patients with and without hemivertebra were 7.85 and 12.06 months, respectively. Gender distribution in patients with and without hemivertebra did not demonstrate significant differences (P > 0.05).

Butterfly vertebra were detected in 7.3% of females and 10.3% of male infants. Mean ages of the patients with and without butterfly vertebra were 5.88 and 12.00 months, respectively. Gender distribution among patients with and without butterfly vertebra did not demonstrate significant differences (P > 0.05).
Hemivertebrae | 9 | %69,24 | 4 | %30,76 | 0,307  
Wedge vertebrae | 22 | %73,3 | 8 | %27,7 | 0,135  
Rib anomalies | 15 | %68,2 | 7 | %31,8 | 0,121

**Table III**  
**Analysis of Rib Anomalies (Costal Anomalies)**

| R.A.(-) | R.A. (+) | p  
|---------|---------|---  
| med. ± sd | med. ± sd |  
| Age | 12,64 ± 18,17 | 7,68 ± 11,86 | 0,591  
| Butterfly vertebrae | 2 | %2,8 | 6 | %27,3 | 0,002  
| Hemivertebrae | 6 | %68,3 | 7 | %31,8 | 0,005  
| Wedge vertebrae | 18 | %25,0 | 13 | %59,1 | 0,003

**Table IV**  
**Distribution of Scoliosis, Rib Anomalies and Vertebrae Formation Anomalies According to Gender**

| Female (n=55) | Male (n=39) | p  
|---------------|-------------|---  
| n | % | n | % |  
| Butterfly vertebrae | 4 | %7,3 | 4 | %10,3 | 0,61  
| Hemivertebrae | 8 | %14,5 | 5 | %12,8 | 0,811  
| Wedge vertebrae | 21 | %38,2 | 10 | %25,6 | 0,203  
| Rib anomalies | 14 | %25,5 | 8 | %20,5 | 0,577  
| Scoliosis | 12 | %21,8 | 7 | %17,9 | 0,645

**Discussion**

For comprehension of the congenital anomalies of vertebra and their associated anomalies, normal embryological development of the vertebral axis should be known. A complex association exists between neural elements of the spinal cord and its supportive mesenchymal elements (19). Development of vertebra and the spinal cord begins from the third week of embryonic life and is completed at 20 years of age (24). Problematic development for any reason causes incomplete closure of any region of the neural tube, which generally takes place within critically important days after fertilization (i.e., the 23rd. and 28th days) and leads to the formation of neural tube defects. Neural tube defects are heterogeneous and complex congenital anomalies of the central nervous system. Neural tube defects constitute a group of cerebral and spinal cord anomalies caused by incomplete closure of cerebral and spinal cord structures within the first weeks of
embryonic life. Normally, closure of the neural tube is simultaneously realized irregularly in five different regions of the spinal cord, both towards the cephalad and in the caudal direction. The cephalad and caudal openings of the neural tube are closed at 25 and 27 days after fertilization, respectively. Dysfunctional primary neurulation causes formation of open neural tube defects (spina bifida aperta), while disruption of the secondary neurulation leads to the development of closed neural tube defects (spina bifida occulta).

Exposure to teratogenic agents can lead to specific anomalies during certain phases of embryonic life. These include impairments in the development of notochords, unsegmented mesoderm, and differentiation of sclerotomes (24). During progression of the neurulation process, the notochord aids in the formation of mesenchymal elements of the spinal cord (5). Mesodermal layers on both sides of the notochord mainly differentiate into paraxial, middle, and lateral regions (10, 20). The notochord also induces differentiation of the mesoderm into somites through a longitudinal segmentation process (22, 23, 26). Somites are paired structures localized on both sides of the embryonic midline that are constructed from mesoderm-derived epithelial blocks. They take their final shape following segmentation of the presomitic mesoderm (2, 4, 9, 25, 27). Vertebrae, ribs, intervertebral discs, related skeletal muscles, and connective tissue originate from these somites. Segmental alignment of the vertebrae stems directly from the segmental structure of the somites (15).

Deformations develop as a result of a deficiency of structural elements of the vertebrae. Anterior, anterolateral, posterior, posterolateral, or lateral parts of the vertebral ring can be affected. Malformations can be partial or complete. Partial malformation manifests as wedge-shaped vertebrae, while its complete forms can appear as hemivertebra, butterfly vertebrae, or vertebral aplasia (19).

Hemivertebra are one of the most frequently seen vertebral anomalies. Since one side of the vertebra is not formed, it is characterized by an incomplete vertebral body, a single pedicle, and hemilamina. A hemivertebra is not an extra vertebra but a partially developed vertebral remnant (Figure 1A, 1B) (17).

Butterfly vertebra result from an inability of bilateral ossification foci. With a central cleft, they resemble a cleaved bilateral hemivertebra. Normally, the notochord is localized in the central part of a disc, and persistence of the notochord during the formation of vertebrae leads to the development of butterfly vertebra (Figure 1C) (19).

Wedge vertebra form as a result of dysplasic development of the vertebral body. However, on the affected side, the presence of the pedicle is maintained. It stems from one-sided partial developmental impairment of one of the chondrification foci (Figure 1D) (19).

Total aplasia of the vertebral body can rarely be seen and leads to the development of kyphosis. The embryological pathology leading to this anomaly is not yet clearly defined. However, in this condition, late or early ossification phases of the central part of the vertebral body could conceivably be
dysfunctional. Clear-cut data are not available about the incidence of concomitancy between congenital vertebral anomalies and myelomeningocele. However, there is a correlation between multifocal complex anomalies and the risk of neural tube defect formation (13).

![Figure 1](image)

Figure 1 - Illustrating defects of formation. A. Lateral hemivertebra. B. Dorsal hemivertebra. C. Butterfly vertebra. D. Wedge vertebrae

In the literature, vertabral malformations have been more frequently reported in patients with myelomeningocele, but there is no literature data concerning the incidence in all patients with spina bifida. In our study, all study populations with the diagnosis of open (spina bifida aperta) or closed (spina bifida occulta) neural tube defects were reviewed. The most frequently encountered vertebral malformation was wedge vertebra (33%), followed by hemivertebra (13.8%) and butterfly vertebra (8.5%). Gender distribution among patients with vertebral malformation did not demonstrate any statistically significant difference.

Non-coincidental correlations between costovertebral malformations and neural tube defects have been reported (6, 7). Costal anomalies associated with myelomeningocele have been described as costal deficiency, costal fusion, and irregular or bicephalic ribs (3, 30). In our series, costal anomalies were detected in 22 patients (23.4%). Gender distribution did not demonstrate a statistically significant difference among patients with costal anomalies, but the rates of hemivertebra, butterfly vertebra, and wedge vertebra were significantly higher. As discussed, embriological development of the ribs and vertebra stems from the same origin, and an interaction persists between the two structures. As a result of this phenomenon, the incidence of costal anomalies increases in conditions that affect embriological development and lead to the formation of vertebral anomalies. Vertebral anomalies progress to scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis, and mixed skeletal anomalies and lead to clinical symptoms and signs (19).

Scoliosis is a three-dimensional deviation of the spine on a frontal plane of more than 10 degrees (Cobb angle > 10°). This deformity can develop secondary to idiopathic factors, congenital vertebral malformations, tumors, or neuromuscular diseases. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis is the most frequently seen form. However, neuromuscular scoliosis
causes more severe spinal deformities and demonstrates a progressive course. In combination with the effects of the underlying disease, it leads to more severe restriction of mobility (1).

The incidence of scoliosis, kyphosis, and lordosis is higher in children with myelomeningocele (8). Among these, the most frequently seen is scoliosis, while kyphosis is the rarest (11). Most of these deformities occur during pediatric ages and secondary to paralysis, and nearly 15% of them are congenital (28). Spinal curvature in myelomeningocele emerges at an earlier age relative to many developmental anomalies. It is seen at 2 and 3 years of age and can worsen at 7 years of age (8, 12, 28).

Helpful definitions for the incidence and prevalence of scoliosis in children with myelomeningocele have been developed by Trivedi et al. (29)(28). In their survey, a patient population with a Cobb angle of more than 20 degrees was determined as cases with scoliosis. Most of the spinal curvatures develop during early stages of life, while nearly 40% of them occur after age 9. A small proportion is seen after age 15 (29).

Scoliosis in patients with myelomeningocele can develop secondary to congenital, idiopathic, or spinal dysraphism. It can also be directly or indirectly related to subsequent paralysis. In patients with spinal dysraphism involving thoracic vertebrae, the incidence of scoliosis rises to 90% (12, 28, 29). 85% of these curvatures are greater than 45 degrees. The incidence of scoliosis in patients decreases with paralysis involving lower vertebral levels. The incidence of scoliosis stemming from L4 level drops down to 60%, and only 40% require surgical intervention. When the level of deficit involves levels below L4, the incidence of scoliosis regresses to 10% (14, 29).

Acquired scoliosis in patients with myelomeningocele has a greater tendency to regress. Muller et al. reported that acquired scoliosis in these patients worsens at a mean annual rate of 5 degrees (21). The angle of curvature and the patient’s age are risk factors for disease progression.

Various etiological factors for scoliosis have been described in patients with myelomeningocele. C-shaped scoliosis can generally stem from muscle weakness due to high-level paraplegia. Paralysis affecting asymmetrical levels or spastic hemiplegia due to hydrocephalus can cause this type of scoliosis. These types of scoliosis accompany kyphosis rather than lordosis. These curvature patterns typically first appear at a younger age and frequently during infancy and lead a progressive course. If present in these cases with scoliosis a surgical procedure aiming at severe spasticity can be required (16).

Another reason for scoliosis in this population is uncompensated hydrocephalus secondary to hydromyelia or hydroxyringomyelia. In these patients, S-shaped scoliosis is typically observed at the thoracic and thoracolumbar levels. Shunt dysfunction or hydromyelia can present with scoliosis at any age even during early childhood. Typical symptoms of hydromyelia may not be detected in the affected patient. In patients with scoliosis with a Cobb angle of less than 50 degrees, shunt replacement has been
demonstrated to have a regressive effect on congenital deformations from scoliosis. Segmentation defects of vertebrae are also among the etiological factors in children with scoliosis. These malformations can accompany hydromyelia, tethered cord, or muscle paralysis. Therefore, the attending physician should consider each one of the components of scoliosis when arranging a treatment program (18).

We detected scoliosis in 19 (20.2%) out of 75 patients. A statistically significant difference was not seen in the age and gender distribution of patients with and without scoliosis. The rates of scoliosis did not demonstrate differences among patients with and without costal anomaly, butterfly vertebrae, hemivertebrae, or wedge vertebra. The lower incidence of scoliosis in our study compared with the literature findings was evaluated, which revealed to be related to the inclusion of both patients with myelomeningocele and those with closed spinal dysraphism. Our patient population was also younger than 12 years of age. When the progressive pattern of neuromuscular scoliosis in patients with spina bifida is considered, we can admit that assessments in future years might detect increased incidence of scoliosis in this patient group.

Since our study had a retrospective design, and some of the patients did not attend the follow-up visits after a while, we could not include follow-up results of all patients in this study. Our study did not aim to determine the incidence of overall rates of scoliosis in patients with open and closed neural tube defects. On the contrary, we statistically evaluated patients for the presence of scoliosis at the time of diagnosis of neural tube defects. Because of a lack of demographic and follow-up data of some patients, we could not differentiate between congenital and neuromuscular scoliosis in all patients, and our data relevant to these issues were not included in this study.

**Conclusion**

Vertebral deformities associated with or developed secondary to spinal dysraphism make the clinical picture of this disease more challenging or even complicate treatment and follow-up planning. The incidence of neural tube defects with their partially elucidated embryological and genetic mechanism demonstrate regional differences. We think that neural tube defects and associated anomalies of the vertebrae and other organ can demonstrate differences with respect to their locations and ethnic groups. More detailed multi-centered studies performed on this issue will aid in the determination of etiologies, genetics, and treatment principles of these congenital anomalies.
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